

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/508,435 03/13/2000		03/13/2000	HIDEHISA ASADA	00177/530985	9095	
513	7590	04/21/2004		EXAMINER		
		ND & PONACK, L	NOLAN, PATRICK J			
2033 K STF SUITE 800		W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		C 20006-1021	1644			
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/508,43	09/508,435 ASADA E						
Office Acti	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Patrick J. I		1644				
The MAILING D. Period for Reply	ATE of this communication ap	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STAT THE MAILING DATE (- Extensions of time may be averafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the set of the period for reply specifiering the period for reply is specifiering. If NO period for reply is specifiering.	UTORY PERIOD FOR REPLOF THIS COMMUNICATION ailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 above is less than thirty (30) days, a refied above, the maximum statutory period or extended period for reply will, by statuce later than three months after the mailing the second of	.136(a). In no even ply within the statu d will apply and wi te, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be timutery minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status								
2a)⊠ This action is FII 3)□ Since this applic	ommunication(s) filed on <u>21 c</u> NAL. 2b) ☐ Thi ation is in condition for allowa	is action is na ance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-42</u> is 4a) Of the above 5) □ Claim(s) i 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-42</u> is 7) □ Claim(s) i 8) □ Claim(s) i	s/are rejected.	awn from cor						
Application Papers								
10) The drawing(s) file Applicant may not Replacement draw	is objected to by the Examined on is/are: a) acrequest that any objection to the ving sheet(s) including the correctantion is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) e drawing(s) b ction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	nte	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/508,435

Art Unit: 1644

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 23-42 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 23-42 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Hunt et al. (U), of record, in view of Harlow et al., all of record for reasons set forth in the Paper mailed 10-23-2003.

Application/Control Number: 09/508,435

Art Unit: 1644

Applicant's arguments filed 1-21-04 have been fully considered but are not found persuasive.

Applicant argues the Hunt et al., reference teaches detecting two compounds while the claimed invention is directed to a single γ -BNP molecule.

However, as presently recited the term γ -BNP derivatives reads upon multiple compounds, two of which are the compounds disclosed by Hunt et al., γ -BNP and α -BNP. Since Hunt et al., teaches that both compounds positively correlate with a cardiovascular event, it would be obvious to detect both compounds in an immunoassay such as a sandwich immunoassay.

It is noted that if Applicant was to amend the claims by the recitation of detecting human γ -BNP (amino acid residues 27 to 134 of SEQ ID NO.1), and not its derivatives or other mammalian species, than the claim would be free of the prior art.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 4. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 5. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 1644

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Nolan whose telephone number is 571-272-0847.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at 571-272-0841.

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner, Group 1640

4/16/2004